UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re:) B	ky No. 4-60106
Daniel S. Miller,)	
	Debtor.)	
Daniel S. Miller,		.)) 	J.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Plaintiff,) F	dversary No. 04-6058
VS.		,	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO
Curt Amundson,) F	LAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
	Defendant.)	
		.)	

Defendant, for his answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, states as follows:

- 1. Unless specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation in Plaintiff's Complaint.
 - 2. Admits the allegations in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 3. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the Defendant is an individual residing at 43507 120th Street SW, East Grand Forks, Minnesota.
 - 4. Admits the allegations in Paragraphs 4 and 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 5. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the Defendant received a payment of \$23,788.13 from the Plaintiff within 91 days prior to February 3, 2004.

- 6. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that annexed as Exhibit A to the Complaint as a copy of the Debtor's check 22528 in the sum of \$23,788.13 payable to the Defendant.
- 7. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payment referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made with respect to a debt owed by the Plaintiff to the Defendant.
 - 8. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
 - 9. Denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 10. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payment referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made within 91 days prior to February 3, 2004.
- 11. Lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraphs 12 and 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint and thus, denies the same placing Plaintiff on his strict proof in connection therewith.
- 12. Paragraph 14 states a legal conclusion rather than a statement of fact and thus, Defendant need neither admit nor deny the same.
- 13. Admits the allegations in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint to the extent it is alleged therein that the payment referenced in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was made to the Defendant.
 - 14. Specifically denies the allegations in Paragraphs 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 15. Paragraphs 17 though 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint state legal conclusions rather than allegations of fact and thus, Defendant need neither admit nor deny the same.
- 16. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.
- 17. Pending the completion of discovery, Plaintiff reserves all available affirmative defenses including those that must be specially plead under Rules 8 and/or 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and those provided under 11 U.S.C. § 547(c).
- 18. Defendant states that the transfer referred in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint was a contemporaneous exchange for value, an exchange for new value and/or that it was the payment of a debt incurred in the ordinary course of the business affairs of the Plaintiff and the Defendant. As a consequence, 11 U.S.C. § 547(c)(1), (2) and/or (4) preclude avoidance of the transfer.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for entry of judgment on Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

- 1. For the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice and the denial of any relief thereunder.
 - 2. For its costs and disbursements incurred herein.
 - 3. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

Dated this 4 day of October, 2004.

VOGEL LAW FIRM

y:_____

Jon/R. Brakke

#10765

218 NP Avenue P.O. Box 1389 Fargo, ND 58107-1389 (701) 237-6983

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, CURT AMUNDSON

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

To the extent any of the issues in this proceeding are triable to a jury, Defendant demands trial by jury of the maximum number of persons permitted by law.

Dated this Hoday of October, 2004.

VOGELILAW FIRM

Jon R. Brakke

#10765

218 NP Avenue

P.O. Box 1389

Fargo, ND 58107-1389

(701) 237-6983

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,

CURT AMUNDSON

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA THIRD DIVISION

Daniel S. Miller, Debtor - B	ky No. 04-60106 DDO	
Daniel S. Miller v. Curt Am	undson - Adv. No. 04-0	6058
STATE OF NORTH DAKO	OTA)	
) ss	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
COUNTY OF CASS)	BY U.S. MAIL

Lori Thrall, being first duly sworn on oath, does depose and state that she is a resident of the City of West Fargo, North Dakota, of legal age, and not a party to the above-entitled matter.

On the <u>5</u> day of October, 2004, Affiant deposited in the United States Post Office at Fargo, North Dakota, true and correct copies of the following documents:

- 1. DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT; and
- 2. INTERROGATORIES AND DEMAND FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET I, TO THE PLAINTIFF

Copies of the foregoing were securely enclosed in an envelope with postage duly prepaid and addressed as follows:

Michael S. Dove GISLASON & HUNTER LLP 2700 South Broadway P. O. Box 458 New Ulm, MN 56073-0458

To the best of Affiant's knowledge, the address above given was the actual post office address of the party intended to be so served. The above documents were duly mailed in accordance with the provisions of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

Lori Thrall

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>5</u> day of October, 2004.

ANNELI JOHNSON Notary Public State of North Dakota My Commission Expires Sept. 28, 2010

Notary Public